

# Field experience with perovskite mini-modules

Dalia Martinez Escobar, Aaron Wheeler, Sarah Kurtz, Mason Terry October 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022, PACT Workshop

### Agenda

#### **1.** Where is UC Merced?

#### 2. Analyzing perovskite mini-modules at UC Merced

- Cleaning outdoor data can be challenging
- Methodology to compare modules of different electrical configuration

# 3. Perovskite mini-modules showed stable performance for several months!

- Mini-modules sustained >80% of the rated power for 120 days.
- Performance ratio results different from commercial Silicon technologies

# 4. Takes aways for measuring perovskites mini-modules in real outdoor conditions

### Where is UC Merced?

- Collaboration between UC-Merced and Caelux Corporation to test tandem perovskites mini-modules.
- Merced area is known for hot sunny summers and mild winters
  - During the last heat wave (September 2022) we reached a record high of 115 °F!
- UC Merced has an outdoor testing facility to measure IV parameters for long periods at a fixed tilt.



### **Experiment configuration**

#### + Modules mounted on test rack

- 35° tilt south-facing
- Cleaned two (2) times per week

#### + IV Curve Monitoring with Daystar multi-tracer

- IV curves taken every 5 mins the using 4-wire method
- Modules held at MPP between IV curves
- BOM Temperature with T-type thermocouples

#### + Ambient conditions tracked

- Irradiance, ambient temperature, wind speed, relative humidity
- + ----- Perovskite Modules and ------ Silicon reference Module (presented data from this module)





#### **PV mini-Modules tested**

# + Caelux provided mini-modules with different electrical configurations.

- General composition: MA chemistry with additives; Rev. B generation chemistry and encapsulation.
- Bandgap ~1.65eV
- Active area: 256 cm<sup>2</sup>
- **111** is a Tandem Mini-module (4 terminal: PVSK over Si) only perovskite measured
- **47** is a stand-alone PVSK mini-module



## **Experiment details**

Experiment duration	Module ID	111	47
	Start date	2/1/2022	1/31/2022
	Days on sun	121	121
First outdoor measurement at 1 sun	BOM Temp (°C)	43	43
	Voc (V)	33.4	15.95
	lsc(A)	0.10	0.24
	FF(%)	0.55	0.42
	Pmax(W)	1.85	1.62



### **Data cleaning and filtering**

- As any outdoor experiment, some of the data from the experiment turned out messy. We applied some cleaning and filtering to facilitate the data analysis and comparison of the performance.
  - Selected measurements with irradiance values between 900-1020 W/m2 (closer to 1 sun) for temperature coefficient analysis,
  - Daily Pmax > 80% initial measurement values,
  - All the measurements before 132 days of exposure (due to material degradation),
  - Other standard data cleaning procedure (e.g., filtering outliers, disconnection, unintentional shading)
- + We also included some of the low-irradiance data to understand the diurnal transient of the electrical parameters and the performance ratio.

#### **Electrical parameter normalization**

- + All electrical parameters were normalized for comparison across module configurations by using the first outdoor measurement and applying a correction for irradiance.
  - We applied these normalization equations to all measurements extracted from the IV curve.



#### **PV module performance ratio**

+ The Performance Ratio (PR) calculation gives a relative efficiency for the module and helps us compare between modules of different cell configurations



Si technologies

#### **UCMERCED**

+



# Results

#### **Observed degradation trends**

- + Modules maintained >80% of Pmax for more than 120 days of exposure
  - Stability observed during first 60 days for both samples
  - Mini-module 111 degraded faster than 47
- + Degradation of the material made it difficult to analyze all days.
  - We selected the 60 days (stable region) to calculate the temperature coefficients
- + Note that: Stable region has a lower ambient temperature
   CMERCED



#### **Temperature coefficients Voc and Isc**



#### **Temperature coefficients: PMAX**

 + Single perovskite and tandem modules have temperature coefficients contrary to silicon modules:

	Si	Pk
Voc	-	+
lsc	+	-
Pmax	-	+

+ 111 and 47 has the same Pmax coefficient for

low temperature and different for high

temperature

#### **UCMERCED**

#### Norm., Corrected. Pmax 111



#### Diurnal electrical performance: March 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022

- + Module 47 (Single Perovskite)
- I<sub>sc</sub> and Pmax showed linear dependence
   with the irradiance while V<sub>oc</sub> has a
   logarithmic dependence
  - FF is less affected by the irradiance
- The performance of the Pk mini-module
   is *slightly* higher during the afternoon
   than during the morning.
  - This trend is true for multiple days as it degraded.



#### **Performance ratio (matrix method)**



Performance ratio for Perovskite Behaves Differently than Silicon!

#### **Matrix Method Results**



Si PR decreases with temperature while PVSK PR increases



#### Take aways

- + We were able to take "good" measurements of the perovskite material that allowed us to estimate the temperature coefficients
  - This was during the region where modules were stable for 60 days on sun.

#### + Performance ratio of the perovskite material behaves differently than Silicon!

- We obtained a higher performance at high temperatures opposite to typical Silicon
- Perovskite improves at higher irradiance
- Perovskite performance is better in afternoon than in the morning (mainly due to the Voc and the FF)
- This results are consistent with those results presented by Mark Khenkin





# Thank you!

maeddalia@gmail.com
https://www.linkedin.com/in/maedalia/